Application No. Applicant(s) 10/017,585 CHOI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** David L. Lewis 2629 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) David L. Lewis. (4)____ (2) Eric Nuss. Date of Interview: 19 June 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _ Claim(s) discussed: 1,6,7 and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: Mizutani et al. (6392620). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to place the subject matter of claim 7 into claim 6 to place the case in condition for allowance. Claims 1 & 13, and clam 6 as amended are now allowable over the prior art of record.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Attachment to a signed Office action.